

AUG 01 2006

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

SARVJEET SINGH,

Petitioner,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 04-75340

Agency No. A79-245-641

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 24, 2006**

Before: ALARCÓN, HAWKINS, and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Sarvjeet Singh, a native and citizen of India, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order affirming without opinion an immigration judge's ("IJ") decision denying asylum, withholding of removal, and relief under

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

the Convention Against Torture (“CAT”). We have jurisdiction pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence the IJ’s adverse credibility finding, *Kaur v. Gonzales*, 418 F.3d 1061, 1064 (9th Cir. 2005), and we deny the petition for review.

Singh’s inconsistent testimony regarding when he was re-baptized, whether anyone at his workplace knew of his arrest, and whether he informed Mr. Jaswal he was leaving India, provides substantial evidence supporting the IJ’s adverse credibility finding. *See id.* at 1067 (holding that inconsistencies deprived petitioner’s claim of the requisite “ring of truth”). Without credible testimony, Singh failed to provide sufficient evidence to establish eligibility for asylum, withholding of removal, or relief under CAT. *See Farah v. Ashcroft*, 348 F.3d 1153, 1155-57 (9th Cir. 2003).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.